

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARK A. FREGIA,

Plaintiff,

v.
YUCUI CHEN, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 1:20-cv-01024-DAD-EPG (PC)

ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO
EXCHANGE DOCUMENTS

Mark A. Fregia (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On September 24, 2021, the Court issued an order requiring the parties to file scheduling and discovery statements. (ECF No. 31.) The parties have now filed their statements. (ECF Nos. 33, 34.)

The Court has reviewed this case and the parties’ statements. In an effort to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive disposition of this action,¹ the Court will direct that certain documents that are central to the dispute be promptly produced.²

¹ See, e.g., *United States v. W.R. Grace*, 526 F.3d 499, 508-09 (9th Cir. 2008) (“We begin with the principle that the district court is charged with effectuating the speedy and orderly administration of justice. There is universal acceptance in the federal courts that, in carrying out this mandate, a district court has the authority to enter pretrial case management and discovery orders designed to ensure that the relevant issues to be tried are identified, that the parties have an opportunity to engage in appropriate discovery and that the parties are adequately and timely prepared so that the trial can proceed efficiently and intelligibly.”).

² Advisory Committee Notes to 1993 Amendment to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure regarding Rule 26(a) (“The enumeration in Rule 26(a) of items to be disclosed does not prevent a court from requiring by order or local rule that the parties disclose additional information without a discovery request.”).

1 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 2 1. Each party has sixty days from the date of service of this order to serve opposing
3 parties, or their counsel, if represented, with copies of the following documents
4 and/or evidence that they have in their possession, custody, or control, to the
5 extent the parties have not already done so:³
6 a. Documents regarding exhaustion of Plaintiff's claims, including 602s,
7 Form 22s, and responses from the appeals office.
8 b. Witness statements and evidence that were generated from
9 investigation(s) related to the event(s) at issue in the complaint, such as
10 an investigation stemming from the processing of Plaintiff's
11 grievance(s).⁴
12 c. All of Plaintiff's medical records related to the incident and/or condition
13 at issue in the case.
14 2. If any party obtains documents and/or other evidence described above later in
15 the case from a third party, that party shall provide all other parties with copies
16 of the documents and/or evidence within thirty days.
17 3. Parties do not need to produce documents or evidence that they have already
18 produced.
19 4. Parties do not need to produce documents or evidence that were provided to
20 them by the opposing party.
21 5. Parties may object to producing any of the above-listed documents and/or
22 evidence. Objections shall be filed with the Court and served on all other parties
23 within sixty days from the date of service of this order (or within thirty days of
24

25 ³ Defense counsel is requested to obtain these documents from Plaintiff's institution(s) of confinement. If
26 defense counsel is unable to do so, defense counsel should inform Plaintiff that a third party subpoena is required.

27 ⁴ See *Woodford v. Ngo*, 548 U.S. 81, 94-95 (2006) ("[P]roper exhaustion improves the quality of those
28 prisoner suits that are eventually filed because proper exhaustion often results in the creation of an administrative
 record that is helpful to the court. When a grievance is filed shortly after the event giving rise to the grievance,
 witnesses can be identified and questioned while memories are still fresh, and evidence can be gathered and
 preserved.").

1 receiving additional documents and/or evidence). The objection should include
2 the basis for not providing the documents and/or evidence. If Defendant(s)
3 object based on the official information privilege, Defendant(s) shall follow the
4 procedures described in the Court's scheduling order. If a party files an
5 objection, all other parties have fourteen days from the date the objection is filed
6 to file a response. If any party files a response to an objection, the Court will
7 issue a ruling on the objection.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: November 5, 2021

/s/ *Eric P. Groj*

11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28